

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/807,099	03/23/2004	Jianmin Shi	ARL 04-17	2520
21364	7590 11/09/2005		EXAMINER	
	RESEARCH LABOR	GARRETT, DAWN L		
ATTN AMSI 2800 POWD		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	MD 207831197		1774	

DATE MAILED: 11/09/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application	on No.	Applicant(s)				
		10/807,09	99	SHI ET AL.	SHI ET AL.			
	Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit				
		Dawn Gar	rett	1774				
Period fe	The MAILING DATE of this communication or Reply	n appears on the	cover sheet i	with the correspondence a	iddress			
WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILIN ensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CF SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication of period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory pure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by some reply received by the Office later than three months after the led patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	IG DATE OF THE FR 1.136(a). In no evolution. Deriod will apply and wistatute, cause the app	HIS COMMUN ent, however, may a ill expire SIX (6) MC lication to become a	IICATION. a reply be timely filed DNTHS from the mailing date of this ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	` ,			
Status								
1) 又	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 2	29 August 2005	•					
	<u></u>							
3)	,							
٥,۵	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposit	ion of Claims	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		- · · · · , · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
·		ation						
7/63	Claim(s) <u>1-11</u> is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5)□	Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
· · ·	Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) <u>1-11</u> is/are rejected.							
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.	•						
	Claim(s) are subject to restriction a	and/or election re	eauirement.					
-	ion Papers		- 4					
· ·	The specification is objected to by the Example 1997 (1997)							
10)[2]	0) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on 23 March 2004 is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
	Applicant may not request that any objection to		_		055 / 404/ 10			
141	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the co	-						
	The oath or declaration is objected to by the	ie Examiner. No	ote the attach	ed Office Action or form F	21U-152.			
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). All b Some * c None of:							
`	 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 							
					-1.04			
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the			n received in this Nationa	ai Stage			
* 9	application from the International Bu See the attached detailed Office action for a	•		at received				
`	occurred detailed office action for a	a 1131 OF 1116 OFF (1	nou oupies Al	A FOOGIVOU.				
Attachmer			_					
1) Notic	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948	Q١		Summary (PTO-413) o(s)/Mail Date				
3) 🔲 Infor	ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/S) er No(s)/Mail Date			Informal Patent Application (P	ГО-152)			

Application/Control Number: 10/807,099 Page 2

Art Unit: 1774

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

1. This Office action is responsive to the amendment filed August 29, 2005. Claims 1-7 have been amended. Claims 1-11 are pending.

- 2. Applicant's representative has requested a spelling correction of inventor Jianmin Shi's name. The spelling has now been corrected in the application's electronic file listing of the inventor names and in the Office's P.A.L.M. records system.
- 3. Applicant should insert the application number of the commonly-assigned application referred to the specification in the first paragraph of the specification by amendment if that application number is now known.
- 4. The objections to claims 2-7 set forth in the last Office action (mailed May 13, 2005), paragraph 1, are withdrawn due to the amendment.
- 5. The rejections over claims 2-7 under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, set forth in the last Office action, paragraphs 2-5, are withdrawn due to the amendment.
- 6. The rejection of claims 1, 5, and 7 under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Sotoyama (US 2005/0048313 A1) set forth in the last Office action, paragraph 7, is withdrawn due to the amendment which excludes a substitution with arylamino.
- 7. Claims 1-11 are again rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Jarikov (US 2004/0076853 A1). Jarikov discloses organic light-emitting diodes with improved operational stability (see title). The devices include a substrate, an anode and a cathode and a luminescent layer between the anode and cathode. The luminescent layer includes a host and at least one dopant. The host includes at least two components (see abstract). A preferred material

Page 3

Art Unit: 1774

for the first host component includes formula (i) (see par. 1432), which reads upon the basic skeletal structure of the formula of claim 1. The skeletal structure may comprise substituent groups R1 through R12 which are individually groups such as hydrogen, fluoro, alkoxy, aryloxy, alkyl of from 1 to 24 carbon atoms, aryl of from 5 to 30 carbon atoms, substituted aryl, heterocycle groups, amino containing groups, and cyano (see par. 1434). Although Jarikov does not exemplify formula (i) compounds wherein at least one substituent group is not hydrogen, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to have formed compounds according to claims 1-11, because Jarikov teaches the substituent groups for formula (i) required by claims 1-11.

Response to Arguments

8. Applicant's arguments filed August 29, 2005 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

With regard to the arguments over Jarikov, applicant states "Jarikov teaches the use of anthanthrene molecules as the first component of an aggregate used to improve the transport electrons or holes or both. Based on fundamental principals, molecular aggregates will not emit light because of self-quenching." In response to applicant's argument that the references fail to show certain features of applicant's invention, it is noted that the features upon which applicant relies (i.e., a different use of the anthanthrene derivatives) are not recited in the rejected claims. Although the claims are interpreted in light of the specification, limitations from the specification are not read into the claims. See *In re Van Geuns*, 988 F.2d 1181, 26 USPQ2d 1057 (Fed. Cir. 1993). The examiner submits that Jarikov teaches derivative comprising skeletal formula (i) per the formula disclosed by applicant in a luminescent layer of an electroluminescent device.

Art Unit: 1774

Applicant argues the Jarikov formula (i) compounds are part of an aggregate, but the examiner notes that applicant's claims do not expressly exclude an aggregate in the luminescent layer. The properties of the claimed formula are deemed to be inherent in the formula (i) compounds taught by Jarikov. The Jarikov reference clearly teaches the derivative according to applicant's formula is used in the luminescent layer. Applicant's claims do not exclude further components such as a host matrix material from being present in the luminescent layer. The rejection over Jarikov is respectfully maintained.

Conclusion

9. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

10. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Dawn Garrett whose telephone number is (571) 272-1523. The examiner can normally be reached Monday through Friday.

Application/Control Number: 10/807,099 Page 5

Art Unit: 1774

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Rena Dye can be reached at (571) 272-3186. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Dawn Garrett Primary Examiner

Art Unit 1774

November 7, 2005